Discussion of: International Risk Sharing in the Long Run and in the Short Run by Marianne Baxter

Fabrizio Perri University of Minnesota, Minneapolis FED and NBER

NBER IFM, Boston October 2006

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Is country specific risk well shared among nations?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Is country specific risk well shared among nations?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

This paper proposes a simple but natural way of measuring risk sharing at different time horizons

- Is country specific risk well shared among nations?
- This paper proposes a simple but natural way of measuring risk sharing at different time horizons
- The most intriguing finding of the paper is that for all couple of countries analyzed

$$corr(c_{t+k} - c_t, c_{t+k}^* - c_t^*)$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

raises with k, which is interpreted as countries doing a better job in sharing long run risk.

■ The finding is appealing and puzzling at the same time:

- The finding is appealing and puzzling at the same time:
- Appealing because if we could only share one risk the long run is the one we should be sharing

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- The finding is appealing and puzzling at the same time:
- Appealing because if we could only share one risk the long run is the one we should be sharing
- Puzzling because sharing long run risk cannot be achieved with simple bonds (Baxter and Crucini) and not even with more assets with limited enforcement (Kehoe and Perri)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

An explicit model of long and short run risk

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- Are long run risks really shared?
- Conclusions

$$y_t = z_t + \varepsilon_t, \qquad y_t = z_t^* + \varepsilon_t^*$$
$$z_t = z_{t-1} + \eta_t, \qquad z_t^* = z_{t-1}^* + \eta_t^*$$
$$Corr(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^*) = Corr(\eta, \eta^*) = 0$$

i.e. same international risk in the long and short run

$$y_t = z_t + \varepsilon_t, \qquad y_t = z_t^* + \varepsilon_t^*$$
$$z_t = z_{t-1} + \eta_t, \qquad z_t^* = z_{t-1}^* + \eta_t^*$$
$$Corr(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^*) = Corr(\eta, \eta^*) = 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

i.e. same international risk in the long and short run Consider two polar cases

$$y_t = z_t + \varepsilon_t, \qquad y_t = z_t^* + \varepsilon_t^*$$
$$z_t = z_{t-1} + \eta_t, \qquad z_t^* = z_{t-1}^* + \eta_t^*$$
$$Corr(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^*) = Corr(\eta, \eta^*) = 0$$

i.e. same international risk in the long and short run Consider two polar cases

Sharing only the long run risk

$$c_t = \frac{z_t + z_t^*}{2} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad c_t^* = \frac{z_t + z_t^*}{2} + \varepsilon_t^*$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

$$y_t = z_t + \varepsilon_t, \qquad y_t = z_t^* + \varepsilon_t^*$$
$$z_t = z_{t-1} + \eta_t, \qquad z_t^* = z_{t-1}^* + \eta_t^*$$
$$Corr(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^*) = Corr(\eta, \eta^*) = 0$$

i.e. same international risk in the long and short run Consider two polar cases

Sharing only the long run risk

$$c_t = rac{z_t + z_t^*}{2} + arepsilon_t, \qquad c_t^* = rac{z_t + z_t^*}{2} + arepsilon_t^*$$

Sharing only the short run risk

$$c_t = \frac{\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_t^*}{2} + z_t, \qquad c_t^* = \frac{\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_t^*}{2} + z_t^*$$





ыкашиканикан E 1990



 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

A more general test

Rather than just looking at consumption correlation at different horizons

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

- Rather than just looking at consumption correlation at different horizons
- Look at difference between consumption and output correlation at different horizons

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

- Rather than just looking at consumption correlation at different horizons
- Look at difference between consumption and output correlation at different horizons

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Risk sharing picture changes quite dramatically



900











DQC



900

US v/s developed countries



nac

Maybe the way countries share risk (deep shocks) shows up in income not in consumption:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- Maybe the way countries share risk (deep shocks) shows up in income not in consumption:
- Demand spillovers, Technology Transfers, Price Effects, Common components (Colacito, 06)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Maybe the way countries share risk (deep shocks) shows up in income not in consumption:
- Demand spillovers, Technology Transfers, Price Effects, Common components (Colacito, 06)
- Still a lot of risk to be shared (especially with developed countries)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Conclusions

 Macroeconomists like to use consumption co-movements to test international risk sharing

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Conclusions

 Macroeconomists like to use consumption co-movements to test international risk sharing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

 This paper forcefully argues that we should look at co-movement at different frequencies and propose a simple way of doing so

Conclusions

- Macroeconomists like to use consumption co-movements to test international risk sharing
- This paper forcefully argues that we should look at co-movement at different frequencies and propose a simple way of doing so
- Make us really think about long run risk (which are the one which matter the most) and how they are shared

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)